Challenges for Adapting the Sustainable Development Concept in Thailand's Minerals Industry

<u>K. Sakamornsnguan</u>, T. Katz, J. Kretschmann, P. N. Martens *RWTH Aachen University, TFH Georg Agricola zu Bochum* 

SDIMI 2013 – Sustainable Development in the Minerals Industry 6<sup>th</sup> International Conference, 30 June - 3 July 2013 Milos Island, Greece







Content



Thailand's Minerals Industry
Sustainable Development in Current Agenda
Concerns on Sustainable Development Implementation
Challenges for Thailand's Minerals Industry
Conclusions





# Overview (2011)

Resource

stone and industrial minerals, esp. potash, rock salt, limestone
 Production (1,962 million USD)

→ by quantity: aggregate, limestone for cement, lignite, and gypsum

→ by value: lignite, aggregate, limestone for cement, gypsum, and gold
 ■Trade

→ Import (1,940 million USD): mainly coal and coke

→ Export (960 million USD): tin, gold and silver, gypsum and anhydrite



Thailand's Minerals Industry



Changes in Contributions and Impacts

Export- to domestic-oriented

 → Export share 9% (1966) → < 0.5% (2011)</li>
 → Domestic consumption > 85%

 Raw materials

 → Stones and lignite

 Employment

 → 14,000 workers

- Benefit sharing
  - ➔ Royalty 24 million USD
  - Donations and projects







Thailand's Minerals Industry



#### Changes in Contributions and Impacts Chiang Mai Doi Inthanon Udo Thar hitsanulo khon Sawan Ratchathani Buriram Nakhon Thon Buri BANGKO Nakhon Patho B Ratchaburi Range Phetchaburi Krung G u l fKo Phangar o f N Ko Samui Thailand Nakhon Si Thammarat Ko Phuket ongkhl MALAYSIN

Slide 5





Needs for improvement

- Seek for a balance
  - → Maintain contribution to national and local development
  - → Minimize negative impacts
- SD is seen as a solution, but current implementation is not successful.





National level

National Economic and Social Development Plan 7 (1992-1996)
 Changes in mineral regime

- → Mineral Act B.E.2510 (1967) and Mineral Royalty Rates Act B.E. 2511 (1968)
- → National Environmental Protection and Promotion Act B.E.2535 (1992)
- → Determining Plan and Process of Decentralization Act B.E. 2542 (1999)
- → Other policies/regulations



## SD in Current Agenda



## Corporate level

# Compulsory

- → Participation
- → Land use and safety
- Environmental measures
- → Benefit sharing

#### Voluntary

- → ISO
- → CSR
- → GRI
- → Improvement programs











SD in Current Agenda

#### **RNTHAACHEN** UNIVERSITY

## Outcomes

- ■Corporate level → Low performance
- National level
  - → Low importance
  - → Significant impacts













SD concept should be adapted to specific values and contexts.
Nature of the minerals industry

→ Non-renewable and site-specific

 $\rightarrow$  strong or weak sustainability

Differences in values and concepts

 $\rightarrow$  Western vs. Thailand  $\rightarrow$  cultural context

Social and institutional structure

→ How to match contribution ability with the country's needs



## Objective

→ Maintain function

→ Minimize negative impacts and enhance positive contribution

# Paradigm

→ Take into account socio-cultural differences

→ Be moderate





- Thailand's minerals industry needs to maintain contribution to national development while balancing positive and negative impacts
- Though SD is a potential solution, current implementation requires re-consideration of development objective and approach that takes into account specific contexts and cultural values.
- Moderation and emphasis on positive contribution provide good framework for improvement.
- Future works:
  - → Define mutual goal
  - → Identify indicators for tracking changes, both at corporate and sector levels
  - Develop tools for improvement

# Thank you for your Attention!

#### Kridtaya Sakamornsnguan

Tel. +49 241 80-95670 E-Mail: nuk@bbk1.rwth-aachen.de

Institut für Bergbaukunde I (BBK I) Prof. Per Nicolai Martens Wuellnerstr. 2 52062 Aachen Germany Tel. +49 241 80-95667 Fax. +49 241 80-92272 E-Mail: bbk1@bbk1.rwth-aachen.de Web: http://www.bbk1.rwth-aachen.de/en



