The suitability for rehabilitation and the resistance to grazing of 11 plant-species in bentonite deposits in Milos Island

G. Brofas, G. Mantakas and C. Varelides

Institute of Mediterranean Forest Ecosystems and Forest Products Technology



G. Petrakis S & B Industrial Minerals, S.A.



The scope of work

 to establish species of phrygana and shrubs of various palatability to animals on fenced and non fenced slopes of mining deposits

In order to investigate:

- i) their adaptability in the existing climatic and soil conditions and
- ii) their resistance to grazing

Site description

- -Location: at Zoulia site of Milos island
- -Altitude: 110m
- -On betonite mining deposits
- -Bio-climate is the intense thermo-mediterranean,
- with biologically dry days > 125 < 150
- -Annual rainfall 404.5 mm
- 63 % steep inclination of the slope of the spoils' heap

Material and Methods

- •The spoils derived from the waste soil material contain coarse fragments of varying size but mixed with a high percent of fine earth >60%).
- •As the fine material (<2 mm) of the spoils is poor in nutrient elements, the surface of the experiment was covered with a layer of natural soil (fine earth) 30 cm deep.

Material and Methods

11 species tested on the Zoulia site: Pistacia lentiscus Rhamnus alaternus Medicago arborea Limoniastrum monopetalum Euphorbia dendroides Anagyris foetida Anthyllis hermanniae Atriplex halimus Calycotome villosa Sarcopoterium spinosum Phlomis fruticosa





Limoniastrum monopetalum

Euphorbia clendroides

Anagyris foetida

Anthyllis hermanniae

Atriplex halimus

Sarcopoterium spinosum

Calycotome villosa



Experimental Design

- The trial was
- completely randomized blocks design,
- 2 treatments (in fenced and open area)
- 3 replications &
- 11 plots (species) / replication.
- Plot of 12 plants, Spacing 1.0 m x 0.5 m
- Planting on 29-30 November 2006
- not watered, fertilized or hoed

Experimental plots in Zoulia site of Milos island



Experimental plots in Zoulia site of Milos island



Experimental plots in Zoulia site of Milos island



Experimental Design

A statistical **ANOVA**

the method of least significant difference (LSD) was used for the comparison of the means.

% of survival were transformed with the angular (arcsin) transformation

Measurements of i)Survival ii) Shrubs height

1st year and at the end of the 4th year

Comparison of survival (%) between fenced and non fenced plots at the end of 2010.

Plant species	fenced	non fenced
Medicago arborea	96a	30b
Pistacia lentiscus	86a	19b
Rhamnus alaternus	85a	11b
Euphorbia dendroides	96a	16b
Atripex halimus	93a	90a
Anagyris foetida	71a	45b
Phlomis fruticosa	94a	100a
Sarcopoterium spinosum	86a	100a
Limoniastrum monopetalum	99a	98a
Anthyllis hermaniae	100a	100a
Calycotome villosa	84a	94a

• *Means per row annotated with the same letter do not differ at the p<0.05

- The results of the fourth year (2010)
 show significant losses in survival
 between fenced and non fenced plots
- Medicago arborea
- Pistacia lentiscus
- Euphorbia dendroides &
- Rhamnus alaternus

Comparison of height (cm) between fenced and non fenced plots at the end of 2010.

Plant species	2010	
	fenced	Non fenced
Medicago arborea	46.15a	12.07b
Pistacia lentiscus	18.92a	9.89b
Rhamnus alaternus	13.49a	5.83b
Euphorbia dendroides	50.52a	7.56b
Atripex halimus	100.64a	56.48b
Anagyris foetida	35.09a	26.66a
Phlomis fruticosa	38.55a	35.28a
Sarcopoterium spinosum	27.10a	27.47a
Limoniastrum monopetalum	39.27a	36.75a
Anthyllis hermaniae	34.94a	31.58a
Calycotome villosa	41.95a	34.79a
*Means nor row appareted with the same latter do not differ at the n<0.05		

*Means per row annotated with the same letter do not dif-fer at the p<0.05

- The height at the end of 2010
- the mean height of all species being lower in the non fenced plots with the exception of Sarcopoterium spinosum retaining the same height in both treatments
- the difference in height
 between fenced and non fenced
 becomes significantly lower only for
 Medicago arborea, Atripex halimus,
 Pistacia lentiscus, Euphorbia dendroides & Rhamnus alaternus.

No of plants from natural regeneration/species on				
fenced and non fenced plots at the end of 2010.				
Plant species	Fenced	Non Fenced		
Pistachia lentiscus	-	-		
Anthylis hermaniae	7	8		
Atriplex halimus	2	-		
Calycotome villosa	14	4		
Limoniastrum	-	-		
monopetalum				
Sarcopoterium	15	100		
spinosum				
Medicago arborea	31	-		
Euphorbia dendroides	28	-		
Rhamnus alaternus	-	-		
Phlomis fruticosa	4	16		
Anagyris foetida	2	-		
TOTAL	103	128		

- Natural regeneration
- in the fenced plots for Medicago arborea, Euphorbia dendroides, Anthyllis hermanniae, Atriplex halimus, Calycotome villosa, Sarcopoterium spinosum, Phlomis fruticosa, Anagyris foetida,
- in non fenced plots only for
- Anthyllis hermanniae, Calycotome villosa, Sarcopoterium spinosum, Phlomis fruticosa, with Sarcopoterium spinosum as the dominant species

- Pistacia lentiscus & Rhamnus alaternus did not produce any seeds and consequently no regeneration was observed,
- while Limoniastrum monopetalum although it did produce seeds no new plants were found.

Conclusions

- Phlomis fruticosa, Calycotome villosa,
- Sarcopoterium spinosum and
- Anthyllis hermaniae,
- showing satisfactory growth,
- high level of survival and
- signs of natural regeneration, regardless of the grazing pressure,
- are the most suitable for the revegetation and the protection of sensitive slopes on the deposits of bentonite spoil materials in Milos island

Conclusions

- Limoniastrum monopetalum did not exhibit natural regeneration,
- Anagyris foetida and Atripex halimus showed limited evidence and only in the fenced areas.
- Nevertheless, taking into the account their resistance to grazing, these species can be also used for the revegetation of these surfaces.

Conclusions

Pistacia lentiscus

- it might perform better and
- the poor results in this particular case may be attributed to the small size of the plants or to other circumstantial factors.

 The performance of all the other species tested show that they are not suitable, in the prevailing conditions and under grazing pressure, for rehabilitation of such sites. Thank you for your attention